It's About Time!!!!!

Timing for VLBI

Tom Clark


NVI/NASA GSFC

mailto: K3IO@verizon.net

- and -

Rick Hambly
CNS Systems, Inc.

mailto: Rick@cnssys.com



The difference between Frequency and Time Oscillators and Clocks

Oscillator

- Escapement Wheels & Pendulums
- Crystal Oscillators
- Cavity Oscillators
- Oscillator Locked to Atomic Transition
 - •Rubidium (6.8 GHz)
 - •Cesium (9.1 GHz)
 - •Hydrogen Maser (1.4 GHz)

Events that occur with a defined



nsec -- minutes

Integrator and Display = Clock

- Gears
- Electronic Counters
- •Real Clocks


Long-Term
TIMING
seconds - years

What "Clock" Performance Does VLBI Need?

- The VLBI community (Radio Astronomy and Geodesy) uses Hydrogen Masers at 40-50 remote sites all around the world. To achieve ~10° signal coherence for ~1000 seconds at 10 GHz we need the 2 clocks (oscillators) at the ends of the interferometer to maintain relative stability of ≈ [10°/(360° + 10¹0 Hz + 10³ sec)] ≈ 2.8 + 10⁻¹⁵ @ 1000 sec.
- 1

- In Geodetic applications, the station clocks are modeled at relative levels ~30 psec over a day \approx [30+10⁻¹²/86400 sec] \approx 3.5+10⁻¹⁶ @ 1 day
- 2
- To correlate data acquired at 16Mb/s, station timing at relative levels ~50 nsec or better is needed. After a few days of inactivity, this requires ≈ [50+10⁻⁹/ 10⁶ sec] ≈ 5+10⁻¹⁴ @ 10⁶ sec
- 3
- Since VLBI now defines UT1, VLBI needs to control $[UTC_{(USNO)} UTC_{(VLBI)}]$ with an <u>ACCURACY</u> (traceable to USNO) \approx 100 nsec 1 μ sec
- To detect problems, VLBI should monitor the long-term behavior of the Hydrogen Masers (at least) every hour with *PRECISION* ≈10-50 nsec

Allan Deviation – A graphical look at clock performance




Why do we need to worry about "Absolute Time" (i.e. Clock *Accuracy*) in VLBI?

- •The *ONLY* real reason for worrying about "absolute time" is to relate the position of the earth to the position of the stars:
 - Generating Sidereal Time to point antennas.
 - Measuring UT1 (i.e. "Sundial Time") to see changes due to redistribution of mass in/on the earth over long periods of time (a.k.a. "The Reference Frame")
 - Knowing the position of the earth with respect to the moon, planets and satellites.
 - Making the correlation and Data Analysis jobs easier


Why do we need to worry about "Absolute Time" (i.e. Clock Accuracy) in VLBI?

At the stations this means that we will need to pay more attention to timing elements like

- Frequency Standard and Station Timing
- The lengths of all signal & clock cables
- The geometry of the feed/receiver to the antenna.
- Calibration of instrumental delays inside the receiver and backend. The development of new instrumentation is needed.
- The care with which system changes are reported to the correlators and the data analysts.




Haystack April 2009



Haystack April 2009

8



Setting VLBI Clock Time & Rate with GPS -- 3 possible ways--

- - Requires some intervisibility between sites
 - Requires some near-Real-Time communication
 - Links you directly to the "Master Clock" on the other end at ~1 nsec level
- - Requires high quality (probably dual frequency) receiver (TurboRogue, Z12, etc), but it's hard to gain access to the internal clock.
 - Requires transferring ~1 Mbyte/day of data from site
 - Requires fairly extensive computations using dual-frequency data to get
 ~300 psec results with ionosphere corrections
 - Allows Geodetic community to use VLBI Site (and H-Maser) for geodesy
 - Difficult to obtain "Real Time" clock pulses!




Blindly use the Broadcast GPS Timing Signals as a clock

- Yields "Real Time" ~10-30 nsec results with ~ \$1000 hardware
- Single Frequency L1 only (until 2008?) causes ionospheric error

Timing at an Isolated, Remote VLBI Site -- Urumqi in Xinjiang Province, China



Old and New Timing Systems at Wettzell (2009)



Rick's TAC32 Software


Tom's old 8 channel "TAC"

HP53132A Counters


Rick's New
12- channel
"CNS CLOCK II"
(not yet in use)

An Early Example of "Blind" GPS Timing with a 6 channel receiver

ONSALA H-Maser vs "TAC" GPS




Before S/A was turned off (8-channel) . . .






GGAO (Goddard Geophysical & Astronomical Observatory)



How we got ~30 nsec timing in 1995 even with S/A

- Start with a good timing receiver, like the Motorola ONCORE
- Average the positioning data for ~1-2 days to determine the station's coordinates. With S/A on, a 1-2 day average should be good to <5 meters. Or if the site has been accurately surveyed, use the survey values.
- Lock the receiver's position in "Zero-D" mode to this average.
- Make sure that your Time-Interval Counter (TIC) is triggering cleanly. Start the counter with the 1 PPS signal from the "house" atomic clock and stop with the GPS receiver's 1PPS.
- Average the individual one/second TIC reading over ~5 minutes.
- All these steps have been automated in my SHOWTIME and in CNS System's TAC32+ Software using a barebones PC





All that is ancient history. In the new millennium, let's now discuss . . .

- What happened when the DoD turned off S/A on May 2, 2000.
- Sawtooth and Glitches Some Receiver Defects
- Some results obtained with Motorola's newer low cost timing receiver, the M12+ and M12M
- "Absolute" Receiver Calibration
- The post-Motorola era & new developments


What happened when S/A went away?

Using 8-channel Motorola ONCORE VP Receiver...






An example of 1PPS Sawtooth & Bad Glitches Motorola's low cost UT+ Oncore (v3.1)




An example of 1PPS sawtooth

with Motorola's 12-channel M12+ receiver



What is the sawtooth effect ????



- •For the older Oncore, F=9.54 MHz, so the 1/F sawtooth has a range of +/- 52 nsec (104 nsec peak-to-peak)
- •The newer M12+ & M12M have F \approx 40 MHz, so the sawtooth has been reduced to +/- 13 nsec (26 nsec).

VLBI's annoying problem caused by the sawtooth timing error


- □ When the formatter (Mark 5 sampler) needs to be reset, you have to feed it a 1PPS timing pulse to restart the internal VLBI clock. After it is started, it runs smoothly at a rate defined by the Maser's 5/10 MHz.
- □ The <u>AVERAGE</u> of the 1pps pulses from the GPS receiver is "correct", but any single pulse can be in error by ±13 nsec (or ±52 nsec with the older VP & UT Oncore receivers) because of the sawtooth.
- Once you have restarted the formatter with the noisy 1 PPS signal, you then measure the actual (GPS minus Formatter) time that you actually achieved.
- Or, you can use the 1PPS from a new CNS Clock II which has the sawtooth "dither" removed.

Errors due to the sawtooth do not compromise VLBI data quality

- All the Motorola receivers report the error on the <u>next</u> 1 PPS pulse with a resolution of ~1 nsec as a part of the serial data message.
- □ TAC32 reads the HP53131/2 counter and the GPS data message and corrects the answer.

But, wouldn't it be good if the GPS receiver didn't have any sawtooth error, and that every 1 PPS pulse could be trusted?


How can the Sawtooth noise be eliminated ???



The Future is here now! The CNS Clock II



1PPS Sawtooth
Correction Option







Available Since January 2005

Many Options: IRIG-B, High
Performance PPS, Sequencer,
Genisys, RS-485 RFID Timecode,
Ethernet with NTP, Steered TCXO,
Steered OCXO, Steered Oscillator
Utility Functions, and Event
Recorder Interface.

CNS Clock II Block Diagram




Does the hardware 1PPS correction work?



Does the hardware 1PPS correction really work?






CNS Systems' Test Bed at USNO

Calibrating the "DC" Offset of M12+ receivers with 2.0 Firmware in 2002

We have observed that the ONCORE firmware evolution from $5.x \Rightarrow 6.x \Rightarrow 8.x \Rightarrow 10.x$ has been accompanied by about 40 nsec of "DC" timing offsets.

Motorola tasked Rick to make the new M12+ receiver be correct.




Tac32Plus software simultaneously processes data from four Time Interval Counters and four CNS Clocks, writing 12 logs continuously.




Time Interval Counters compare the 1PPS from each CNS Clock (M12+) against the USNO's UTC time tick.

Individual M12 Clock Performance

"Gold" Receiver (A) average "DC" offset = -0.6 ns



Comparing four M12+ Timing Receivers



Tom Clark & Rick Hambly Haystack April 2009

What Happened on 9/7/02?



September 7, 2002.

This picture is a two hour composite of 85 different photos spanning 21:07 thru 23:10 EDT on Sept. 7th (01:07 thru 03:10 UTC Sep. 8).



September 8, 2002.


This picture is a four hour composite of 140 different photos spanning 20:00 thru 24:00 EDT on Sept. 8th (00:00 thru 04:00 UTC Sep. 9).

Each picture was an 87 second exposure with 3 seconds between frames. The trails on the picture are all due to airplanes. The bright loop is from a plane on final approach into BWI airport. Camera = Canon D60 shooting Hi Resolution JPEG at ISO 100 with TC-80 timer. Lens = Sigma f/2.8 20-40 mm set to 20 mm @ f/4.5

Short Baseline Test (USNO to NASA GGAO)

Comparing two new Motorola M12+ GPS Timing Receivers over the 21.5 km baseline between the US Naval Observatory (USNO) and the NASA Goddard Geophysical & Astronomical Observatory (GGAO).

Both data sets compare the GPS timing receiver to a local Hydrogen Maser clock. On both, a linear fit to remove constant clock offset and drift has been applied.



Current M12 Receiver Status

- All the varieties of the Motorola M12+/M12M timing receiver show similar performance.
- □ All the Motorola samples (including the 4 receivers in the 2002 test) appear to agree with UTC(USNO) to better than ±10 nsec.
- Motorola has made a business decision to get out of the GPS timing business.
 - The M12M timing receiver is now being manufactured by iLotus LTD in Singapore. For information see:
 - http://www.synergy-gps.com/content/view/20/34/
 - □ GPS performance of the iLotus receivers is better than Motorola
 - □ The the iLotus M12Ms that we have seen show a bias errors up to ~30 nsec as compared with our "Gold" reference Motorola receiver.
 - □ The reasons for the biases (Hardware? Firmware?) are unknown.

What Else is New?

- □ The CNS Clock II now is a fully functioning NTP Time Server for your LAN.
- □ CNS Systems is delivering the CNS Clock II with iLotus M12M receivers and the hardware sawtooth remover.
- □ Rick continues to support the Windows-based TAC32 and Tac32Plus PC software.
- RSN (Real! Soon! Now!) there will be an open source, GPL Linux version of TAC32!
 - □ This is the result of a collaboration between Rick and an unnamed US Government organization.
 - ☐ If any of you would like to help with the conversion of the code to C++ with QT V4, contact Rick.

Where to get information?

These Slides and related material:

http://gpstime.com

Information on the CNS Clock and the CNS Clock II:


http://www.cnssys.com


For ONCORE/TAC-2 receiver used as a LINUX NTP


network time server: http://gpstime.com


To contact Tom: <u>mailto:K3IO@verizon.net</u>

To contact Rick: <u>mailto:Rick@cnssys.com</u>, 410-987-7835











To Make Sure TAC32 is Logging the "true" Maser-to-GPS Time Interval:



To Activate the LAN Telnet Link between TAC32Plus and the LINUX PC Field System, Hit Control-T:

Then Click on the check-box and the OK button



To Use TAC32Plus PC as your Station's SNTP Network Timer Server:

